

The Ohio Cooperative Corn Performance Tests

G. H. Stringfield, R. D. Lewis, and H. L. Pfaff

**Department of Agronomy
Ohio Agricultural Experiment Station**

Cooperating with

**49 Ohio seed growers and county seed corn associations, the
Division of Cereal Crops and Diseases, Bureau of Plant
Industry, United States Department of Agriculture, and the
Agricultural Extension Service, The Ohio State University**

**OHIO AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION
WOOSTER, OHIO**

Adaptation Areas for Corn Hybrids in Ohio (Revised January 1942)

By the Agricultural Extension Service of The Ohio State University, the Ohio Agricultural Experiment Station, and the Bureau of Plant Industry of the United States Department of Agriculture.



Refer to this map to locate the adaptation areas indicated in the list of tests and cooperators and in the table headings.

The areas, indicated by Roman numerals, are arranged with regard to climatic, soil, and corn usage variations. In general, the effective corn growing season is shortest in Area 1 and increases in length as the area numbers are higher. Wide variations exist, however, within areas, and these local variations must be considered in choosing adapted hybrids for specific farms.

The adaptation groups, indicated by letters, refer to maturity requirements of corn strains. The letters and the open-pollinated corn with which each group approximately matures are:

- M—Medina Pride
- K—Killbuck Creek varieties
- W—Woodburn
- C—Clarage
- L—Lancaster Sure Crop
- R—Reid
- S—Scioto White

THE 1941 OHIO COOPERATIVE CORN PERFORMANCE TESTS

G. H. STRINGFIELD, R. D. LEWIS, AND H. L. PFAFF^{1 2}

INTRODUCTION

The results presented here constitute the fourth annual report of the Ohio Cooperative Corn Performance Tests. For the previous reports, see Ohio Agricultural Experiment Station Agronomy Mimeograph No. 50, 1938; Special Circular No. 59, 1939; and Special Circular 61, 1941 (reporting 1940 results).

Preparations were made for 60 tests in 1941, 49 conducted by farmers or seed growers working with their county agricultural agents, and 11 on Experiment Station or County Experiment Farms. One cooperator was unable to get his test planted, and four tests were discarded because of variable growth conditions within the test fields. Data on acre yields of grain and other plant responses are reported from 55 tests in 52 counties. To date, this is Ohio's most extensive series of tests designed to compare the field performance of commercial corn strains.

The growing season was characterized by generally favorable weather at planting time and abundant moisture during the early growing season. Mild to severe drought developed over much of western Ohio and in local eastern areas in July and August. Yields were reduced much by drought in the Allen, Auglaize, Ashland, Clermont, Henry, Meigs, Mercer, and Paulding County tests.

It cannot be assumed that a drought period will lower the yields of all strains uniformly. Some will tolerate drought better than others, and, because of differences in seasonal requirements and maturity, some may be favored by partial escapement. Drought and other variables in conditions of growth explain many of the differences in relative performance of adapted corn strains in different tests.

Conditions for drying were good during September and fair in October. A state-wide gale on September 25 accounted for a large part of the stalk breakage.

Heavy infestation by the European corn borer lowered the average yields in Van Wert, Paulding, Henry, and Putnam Counties. Like drought, the corn borer also takes a definitely heavier toll of some strains than others. Experience with many corn strains growing under conditions favoring heavy corn borer infestation is resulting in the selection of hybrids which will withstand corn borer attack much better than the strains now in wide use.

¹G. H. Stringfield, Agronomist, Division of Cereal Crops and Diseases, Bureau of Plant Industry, U. S. Department of Agriculture, and Associate in Agronomy, Ohio Agricultural Experiment Station; R. D. Lewis, Chairman, Department of Agronomy, The Ohio State University, Associate in Agronomy, Ohio Agricultural Experiment Station, and Agent, Division of Cereal Crops and Diseases, Bureau of Plant Industry, U. S. Department of Agriculture; H. L. Pfaff, Agent, Division of Cereal Crops and Diseases, Bureau of Plant Industry, U. S. Department of Agriculture, and Crop Breeding Foreman, Ohio Agricultural Experiment Station.

²The writers are much indebted to the many farmers and seed growers who generously contributed land, fertilizers, labor, and personal efforts in conducting these tests; to the county agricultural agents for help with arrangements and other details; and to the assisting personnel at the Experiment Station, The Ohio State University, and on the outlying State and County Experiment Farms for invaluable help in harvesting, computations, typing, and other details.

Leaf blight attacked all the plots in the southern half of the State with more or less severity. The tests in Pickaway, Fairfield, Madison, Belmont, Fayette, Ross, and Highland Counties were heavily infected, and the plot yields in those counties are presumed to have been affected by the blight. Until more is known, however, about the leaf blight diseases and the conditions of plant growth affecting their development and spread, it will not be possible to evaluate definitely their influence on grain yields. The general occurrence of leaf blights in recent years has made it possible to select less susceptible strains, and, unless unpredictable events arise to negate present indications, it will soon be possible to reduce leaf blight infection through the use of these strains.

The criticism is occasionally made that most of the performance tests are conducted on fields so highly productive that the results may not apply to the greater part of cornlands. When one notes that the average Ohio corn yield in 1941 was less than 50 bushels (not baskets) per acre, the criticism may seem valid, but even a 50-bushel corn yield in any but the worst of seasons is inexcusably low. Ohio land that can be made to produce no more is not corn ground. With the use of good hybrids, good rotations, good soil management, and efficient cultural practices, Ohio corn farmers should strive for 100 bushels or more of dry shelled grain per acre in the better seasons. Actually, some of the test plots, notably those in Highland, Perry, Warren, Defiance, Licking, and Ashland Counties, were planted deliberately on the grower cooperators' thinner fields. None of the tests on the Experiment Station or County Experiment Farms were on fields that are naturally highly productive for their localities.

The disparity between the yields reported here and average farm yields is not entirely an expression of different fertility levels. The nearly perfect stands in the official tests and the slight upward adjustments for subnormal stands account for part of it.

It is true that the relative responses from a collection of corn strains will be measurably different on fertile soil than on poor soil, but should not the poor soil problem be handled by methods already known for soil improvement rather than by the encouragement of poor farming through developing corn hybrids for poor soil?

CORN STRAINS TESTED

Table 1 shows the number of strains tested and a classification of them. Forty of the new Ohio experimental hybrids were tested as candidates for immediate expansion as commercial certified hybrids. Selection for such exalted positions, however, is not based upon these tests alone. Results in previous seasons and the observations and judgment of many farmers who grew these hybrids in 1940 and 1941 also are considered. Ten of them have been recommended to the Ohio Seed Improvement Association for immediate certification. They are:

Ohio M20
Ohio K24
Ohio W46
Ohio W54
Ohio C28
Ohio C38
Ohio C48
Ohio C76
Ohio C88
Ohio L86

Several others are likely candidates for certification next year.

**TABLE 1.—Classification of the material included in the 1941
Ohio Cooperative Corn Performance Tests**

Material	Corn strains	Entries
New Ohio experimental hybrids	61	674
Experimental hybrids from U. S. Department of Agriculture and other experiment stations	12*	43
Ohio certified hybrids	14	206
Other certified hybrids	6	51
Privately controlled hybrids	11†	60
Open-pollinated varieties	8	66
Totals	112	1,100

*Includes one Indiana hybrid, two Iowa hybrids, and nine U. S. hybrids.

†Not including one privately controlled certified hybrid.

The 14 Ohio certified hybrids included 4 developed entirely or in part in other states but certified in Ohio.

The term “privately controlled hybrid” as used here means a hybrid involving one or more inbred lines kept under the control of a private company, or a hybrid whose pedigree is not made public by the seed producer. A fee of \$18 was charged for the entrance of a privately controlled hybrid in a group of three or more tests.

TEST GROUPS

The 60 tests were divided into 13 test groups. The groups of tests were lettered roughly in the order of the effective lengths of growing season in the areas where the groups were placed. Thus, Group A was in the area of shortest effective growing season, and Group P was in the area of longest effective growing season. Originally, each group of tests was planned to include not less than 3 nor more than 6 tests of 20 entries each. The tests of a group each contained the same 20 entries, and all were located within an area varying only slightly in length of growing season.

CONTROL STRAINS

It is not possible, by repetition of these small tests as a whole, to compare directly each strain with every other strain and at the same time provide for simple summary tables. Some strains will have to be compared by noting how each performed relative to one or more “control” strains. The 1941 control strains were the same as in previous seasons, and they are listed below:

TEST GROUP

A
B and C
D and E
F and G
H and K
L, M, and N
P

CONTROL STRAINS

Ohio M 15 and Ohio K23
Ohio K35 and Ohio W17
Ohio W17 and U. S. 65
U. S. 65
Iowa 939 and U. S. 44
U. S. 44 and U. S. 13
U. S. 13 and U. S. 102

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

The 20 entries in a test were compared in 2- by 10-hill plots replicated 5 times and laid out in a modified Latin square of 5 rows (20 plots side by side) and 5 columns (4 plots wide by 5 plots long) at right angles to the rows. Each entry was placed at random once in each row and once in each column.

Planting arrangements and seed packets were sent to the cooperators from the Experiment Station. The cooperators were not given the identification of the strains until after September 1.

In planting, six seeds were dropped in each hill in two "jabs" of three each with specially made hand planters. Stands later were thinned to as nearly three plants per hill as possible. Cultural and fertilizer treatments were uniform over a given test. Marginal plots were given normal competition by border rows.

The mid-date of silking was estimated for each plot in 33 tests. The instructions were to visit the planting on alternate days during the flowering period and to count and record the number of plants in silk for each plot in which a third or more plants were in silk, but to make only one record for each plot. Silking dates were computed, using Meyers³ method, for those tests where the instructions were executed to the satisfaction of the Experiment Station.

The number of plants lodged because of failure of their roots to hold them erect, and the number of plants broken below the ear were counted just before harvest.

A representative of the Experiment Station or State University supervised the harvesting of each test. The ears from each plot were weighed and recorded in pounds and tenths. A grain sample for a determination of moisture content was taken from each plot by shelling two rows of kernels from each ear harvested from four hills, e. g., the first and fifth hills of the first plot row and the third and seventh hills of the second plot row. The samples were placed at once in moistureproof bags and promptly delivered by express or car to the University or the Experiment Station for determinations of the moisture content of grain.

Acre yield and dry matter content of the ear corn at harvest were computed from the field weights of ear corn and the moisture content of the grain with the aid of a table prepared by the Iowa Agricultural Experiment Station. This table is based on a curve showing the distribution of moisture between the grain and cob in ear corn ranging in moisture content from 10 to 40 per cent. The curve was computed from a large number of determinations made in Iowa. The acre yields are reported in terms of shelled grain with 15½ per cent of moisture. This method of computing acre yields ignores strain differ-

³Meyers, M. T. 1930. Determining date of silking in experiments with corn. *Journal of the American Society of Agronomy* 22: 280-283, illus.

ences in shelling percentage. It requires much less labor, however, than methods which involve the determination of shelling percentage. Comparisons made by the Ohio Station have shown that it gives practically the same results as the more laborious methods.

Plots having less than 80 per cent of a normal stand were discarded, and if a plot stood adjacent to a stand of less than 80 per cent, the row next to the thin stand was discarded. Aside from these discarded plots, field weights were corrected to the expected weights at normal stands. The correction factors were computed from 21 years of Wooster data on yield in relation to stand.

INTERPRETATION OF THE DATA

All yield data were analyzed statistically by using Fisher's⁴ analysis of variance. A figure labeled "significant difference" is given at the foot of each yield column. Unless the yields of two strains differ by as much as the significant difference, or more, little confidence can be had that one was really superior to the other under the conditions of that test or group of tests. A yield difference as great as the significant difference would be expected once in 20 comparisons of two strains of equal yielding capacity as a result of soil differences and other random variations in the test. It follows that unless strains do differ by at least as much as the significant difference, the odds favoring an inherent superiority of either strain are poorer than 19 to 1. The odds diminish rapidly when the differences are smaller.

One of the items which make it difficult to evaluate corn strains is that they may not respond alike to fluctuations in environment. Two strains may differ little in one location but much in another; or one may be superior in one location but inferior in another. Thus, strain A may be slightly more productive than strain B in one test but much more productive in another; or strain A may be more productive than strain B in one test, less productive than strain B in another. This differential response to environments was probably operating within all the maturity groups in 1941. In statistical jargon, such a differential response is called "strain \times location interaction." Its influence in adding to the variation in yield test results can be isolated, and its significance can be stated in terms of odds. At the foot of each table it is stated whether this interaction is "not significant" (odds are less than 19 to 1 that a measurable interaction was in operation), is "significant" (odds are 19 to 1 or higher that it was operating), or is "highly significant" (odds are 99 to 1 or higher that it was operating). Differences in time, whether in season or merely in planting date, may influence the order of yields or other measures between strains as much as differences in location.

The necessary difference for significance as given for the average yields of the tests of any one group is valid only for a combination of different growing conditions very similar to that sampled in the table, because the variance due to the strain \times location interaction was eliminated before the necessary difference was computed. If an attempt were made to arrive at a necessary difference for group averages which would apply to random locations, the variance due to interaction could not be eliminated, and the sampling of many more locations, seasons, planting dates, and cultural practices would be required.

⁴Fisher, R. A. 1932. Statistical Methods for Research Workers. Fourth Edition. Oliver and Boyd.

List of tests and grower cooperators

Test No.	Adapta- tion area	Test group	County	Cooperating group	Grower cooperator	Address
601	1	A	Ashtabula		John R. Brown	R. 1, Austinburg
602	1	A	Trumbull		Trumbull Co. Exp. Farm	Cortland
603	1	A	Mahoning		Mahoning Co. Exp. Farm	Canfield
604	1	A	Summit	Summit-Portage Co. Hybrid Corn Growers	Howard M. Call	R. 3, Kent
605*	1	A	Medina	Medina Co. Hybrid Corn Growers Association	E. T. and A. R. Clapp	R. 2, Spencer
606	2	B	Ashland	Ashland Co. Hybrid Corn Growers	E. S. Spotts	R. 5, Wooster
607	2	B	Richland	Richland Co. Hybrid Corn Growers	L. R. Clever	R. 2, Shiloh
608	2	B	Wayne		Ohio Agr. Exp. Sta.	Wooster
609	4	B	Tuscarawas	Tuscarawas Co. Hybrid Corn Growers	Geo. B. Johnson	R. 1, Dover
610	3	B	Williams	Williams-Defiance Hybrid Corn Growers Association	Paul Smith	R. 2, West Unity
611	4	C	Knox	Knox Co. Hybrid Seed Corn Producers	Smith Pealer	R. 1, Mt. Vernon
612	4	C	Jefferson	Jefferson Co. Hybrid Seed Corn Producers	J. C. Henderson	R. 1, Rayland
613	4	C	Coshocton	Coshocton Co. Hybrid Seed Corn Producers	Chester C. Pew	R. 3, Coshocton
614	4	C	Union	Union Co. Hybrid Seed Corn Producers	Elmer Rausch	R. 1, Plain City
615	5	C	Defiance	Williams-Defiance Hybrid Corn Growers Association	W. E. Connelly	Edgerton
616	4	D	Erie	Erie Co. Certified Hybrid Seed Corn Growers Association	Fries Estate Farm, Carl Greinig, Mgr.	R. 2, Huron
617	4	D	Wyandot	Wyandot Co. Hybrid Corn Producers	L. Roy Schoenberger	R. 2, Nevada
618*	4	D	Marion	Marion Co. Hybrid Seed Corn Producers	Melvin Kennedy	Martel
619	4	D	Allen	Allen Co. Hybrid Seed Corn Producers	Jesse Vandermark	R. 3, Lima
620	2	E	Shelby	Shelby Co. Corn Hybrid Improvement Association	W. F. Ward	R. 3, Sidney
621	2	E	Huron	Huron Co. Hybrid Corn Growers	W. F. Porter & Sons	R. 2, New London
622*	4	E	Hardin	Hardin Co. Hybrid Corn Growers	Bosse Bros.	R. 3, Ada
623	4	E	Auglaize	Auglaize Co. Hybrid Seed Corn Producers	Paul Rhodeheffer	R. 3, St. Marys
624	4	E	Mercer	Mercer Co. Hybrid Corn Growers Association	A. A. Fishbaugh	R. 3, Celina
625	4	E	Champaign	Champaign Co. Hybrid Corn Growers	F. N. Johnson & Son	R. 1, West Liberty
626	4	F	Seneca	Seneca Co. Hybrid Seed Corn Growers Association	Milo Saul	R. 5, Tiffin
627	5	F	Wood	Wood Co. Hybrid Corn Growers	Alfred A. Robertson	R. 2, Perrysburg
628	5	F	Hancock	Hancock Co. Hybrid Seed Corn Group	Wilbur Creighton	R. 5, Findlay
629†	5	F	Paulding	Paulding Co. Hybrid Corn Growers	Paul O. Eichling	R. 2, Paulding
630	5	F	Van Wert	Van Wert Corn Hybrid Association	Marsh Foundation, W. G. Weigle, Mgr.	Van Wert

List of tests and grower cooperators—continued

Test No.	Adaptation area	Test group	County	Cooperating group	Grower cooperator	Address
631	5	G	Henry		Northwestern Exp. Farm	Holgate
632	5	G	Paulding		Paulding Co. Exp. Farm	Paulding
633	5	G	Putnam	Putnam Co. Corn Hybrids Association	Jasper Pope & Sons	R. 3, Ottawa
634	5	G	Lucas	Lucas Co. Hybrid Corn Growers	W. N. Woods & Son	Maumee
635	5	G	Sandusky	Sandusky Co. Hybrid Corn Growers	Gries & Bloom, and Floyd Damschroder	R. 4, Fremont R. 2, Gibsonburg
660	5	G	Fulton	Fulton Co. Hybrid Corn Growers	John Neuenschwander	Wauseon
636	4	H	Belmont		Belmont Co. Exp. Farm	St. Clairsville
637*	4	H	Muskingum	Muskingum Co. Hybrid Corn Growers	T. F. Prosser	R. 1, Zanesville
638	4	H	Licking	Ohio Hybrid Seed Corn Producers	J. E. Van Fossen	Croton
639	6	H	Miami		Miami Co. Exp. Farm	Troy
640	6	H	Clark	Clark Co. Hybrid Seed Corn Producers	W. N. Scarff's Sons	R. 3, New Carlisle
641	4	K	Perry		Ralph Yost	Thornville
642	6	K	Fairfield	Fairfield Co. Hybrid Corn Association	Webb S. Krout	R. 5, Lancaster
643	6	K	Franklin	Franklin Co. Hybrid Seed Corn Producers	W. W. Wright	R. 1, Lockbourne
644	6	K	Madison	Madison Co. Hybrid Seed Corn Producers	Madison Co. Exp. Farm	London
645	6	K	Darke	Darke Co. Seed Improvement Association	Grover Miller	R. 3, Greenville
646	6	L	Preble	Preble Co. Hybrid Seed Corn Association	Mason Montgomery's Sons	R. 1, Eaton
647	6	L	Pickaway	Pickaway Co. Hybrid Corn Growers	Roger Hedges	R. 1, Ashville
648	6	L	Meigs		Southeastern Exp. Farm	Carpenter
649	6	L	Clermont		Clermont Co. Exp. Farm	Batavia
650	6	M	Butler	Butler Co. Hybrid Corn Growers	Johnie H. Baker	R. 6, Hamilton
651	6	M	Highland		Meyers Hybrid Corn Co.	Hillsboro
652	6	M	Fayette	Fayette Co. Seed Improvement Association	Harold C. Mark	R. 2, Washington C. H.
653	6	M	Jackson	Jackson Co. Hybrid Corn Growers	Jackson Co. Infirmary Farm, J. H. Steele, Supt.	R. 1, Jackson
654	6	N	Warren	Warren Co. Hybrid Corn Growers	Albert Gooccy	R. 1, Morrow
655	6	N	Ross	Ross Co. Hybrid Corn Growers	Ralph Whaley	Frankfort
656	6	N	Hamilton		Hamilton Co. Exp. Farm	Mt. Healthy
657	7	P	Butler	Butler Co. Hybrid Corn Growers	J. F. Clawson	R. 1, Hamilton
658	7	P	Hamilton		Pope Bros.	R. 2, Harrison
659	7	P	Ross	Ross Co. Hybrid Corn Growers	Wilbur Kidnocker	Chillicothe

*Test discarded because of ununiformity.

†Test not planted.

INDEX OF ENTRIES

HYBRID NUMBER	PEDIGREE	TABLES
Ohio experimental hybrids:		
W10	(51A × WF9) (Hy × L317)	8, 9, 12
C12	(WF9 × 07) (Hy × L317)	8, 10, 11, 13
C14-2	(51A × 40B) (67A × Hy)	7
C14-3	(51 × 56) (67 × L317)	7
L16	(28 × 187-2) (Hy × L317)	7, 11, 12
L18	(WF9 × 07) (38-11 × 15-6)	11, 13
M20	(51 × 26) (33 × 40B)	2, 3, 4
R22	(Hy × 07) (38-11 × 15-6)	11, 13
K24	(51A × WF9) (33 × 40B) lot 1	2, 3, 4, 5, 7
K24	(51A × WF9) (33 × 40B) lot 2	2
K24	(51A × WF9) (33 × 40B) lot 3	2
W26	(WF9 × Os420) (33 × 40B) lot 1	2, 3, 4
W26	(WF9 × Os420) (33 × 40B) lot 2	2
C28	(WF9 × Hy) (33 × 40B)	4, 6, 8
W30	(WF9 × 07) (33 × 40B)	5, 7, 9, 13
W32	(28 × 187-2) (33 × 40B)	3, 5, 9
M34	(51 × 26) (40B × 02)	2, 3, 4
W36	(51A × WF9) (40B × 02)	3, 4, 5, 6
C38	(WF9 × Hy) (40B × 02)	4, 6, 8, 9
K42	(51 × 26) (40B × Os420)	2, 3, 4
C44-1	(187-2 × 4-8) (Hy × 07)	10, 11, 12
C44-2	(40B × 187-2) (Hy × 07)	10, 11, 12
W46	(51A × WF9) (40B × Os420)	2, 3, 4, 9
C48	(WF9 × Hy) (40B × Os420)	6, 9, 11
C50	(WF9 × 07) (40B × Os420)	7, 10, 12
W54	(WF9 × 40B) (51A × Hy)	5, 6, 8, 9, 13
W56	(WF9 × Os420) (51A × Hy)	4, 6
W58	(WF9 × 07) (51A × Hy)	5, 8, 11, 12
W60	(28 × 187-2) (51A × Hy)	6, 7, 9, 12
W62	(28 × 187-2) (40B × Os420)	3, 5, 9
K64	(51A × WF9) × Os420	3, 4
C65-1	(51A × 4-8) (Hy × 07)	5, 8, 13
C65-2	(51A × 40B) (Hy × 07)	5, 8, 13
W66	(WF9 × 40B) × Os420	3, 4
C68	(WF9 × Hy) × Os420	9
C76	(WF9 × 40B) × Hy	6, 7, 10
C80	(WF9 × 07) × Hy	7, 9, 13
C82	(WF9 × 38-11) × Hy	8, 10, 12
C84	(28 × 187-2) × Hy	6, 7, 10, 11

L86	(28 × L317) × Hy	7, 8, 10, 12
C88	(WF9 × 40B) (Hy × 07)	5, 7, 10, 12
C90	(WF9 × Os420) (Hy × 07)	10, 11, 12
C92	(WF9 × 38-11) (Hy × 07)	8, 10, 13, 14
L94	(187-2 × 07) (YS66 × L317)	11, 13, 14
C96	(28 × 187-2) (Hy × 07)	7, 10, 13
L98	(28 × L317) (Hy × 07)	7, 12, 13
161	(Os420 × Os426) (51 × 84)	6
1147	(WF9 × Hy) × 28	10
1208	(33 × 40B) × 51A	2
1209	(33 × 65) × 51A	2
1268	(38-11 × 15-6) × LT	12
3013	(51A × 26) (WF9 × Hy)	2
3048	(51A × I205) (40B × L317)	5, 8
3054	(38-11 × 15-6) (07 × U. S. 2)	14
3056	(38-11 × 15-6) (07 × 23R5)	14
3057	(38-11 × 15-6) (40B × L317)	14
3058	(28 × L317) (67A × 38-11)	11, 12, 14
3059	(WF9 × 07) (67A × 38-11)	8
3060	(WF9 × 07) (51A × 40B)	8
3061	(WF9 × 07) (40B × L317)	13
3062	(28 × 187-2) (40B × L317)	11, 13
3063	(65 × WF9) (40B × L317)	11
3069	(U. S. 2 × U. S. 3) (38-11 × 15-6)	14
3070	(Hy × J8-6G) (38-11 × 15-6)	14

Hybrids certified in Ohio:

Ohio C14	(67 × Hy) (51 × 56)	7
Ohio M15	(26 × 51) (A × CC5)	2, 3, 4
Ohio W17	(56 × 4-8) (51 × 84)	3, 4, 5, 6, 9
Ohio K23	(26 × 51) (65 × 84) lot 1	2
Ohio K23	(26 × 51) (65 × 84) lot 2	2, 3, 4
Ohio K35	(26 × Hy) (65 × 02)	2, 3, 4
Ill. 384	(A × Hy) (WF9 × R4)	5
Ill. 960	(R4 × Hy) (540 × L317)	8
Iowa 931	(L289 × CL447) (Os420 × Os426)	2, 3
Iowa 939	(L289 × I205) (Os420 × Os426)	3, 4, 5, 6, 9, 10, 12, 13
Pioneer 311A		9
U. S. 13	(WF9 × 38-11) (Hy × L317)	7, 11, 12, 13, 14
U. S. 44	(187-2 × 4-8) (Hy × 540)	8 to 13, inc.
U. S. 52	(Hy × 67) (4-8 × 540)	10
U. S. 65	(51 × 4-8) (Hy × 540)	4 to 8, inc., 10

Illinois hybrids:

384	(A × Hy) (WF9 × R4)	5
960	(R4 × Hy) (540 × L317)	8

Indiana hybrids:

431	(WF9 × Hy) (Os420 × Os426)	6, 8, 10
608C	(WF9 × Hy) (A × Tr)	6, 11, 12
610	(A × L) (WF9 × Hy)	6, 7, 9
813C	(WF9 × Hy) (38-11 × L317)	11

Iowa hybrids:

306	(WF9 × Os420) (L289 × I205)	9
931	(L289 × CL447) (Os420 × Os426)	2, 3
939	(L289 × I205) (Os420 × Os426)	3, 4, 5, 6, 9, 10, 12, 13
4059	(WF9 × Hy) (L289 × I205)	6, 9

Michigan hybrids:

22C		5
24B		5
33C		5

U. S. hybrids (yellow):

13	(WF9 × 38-11) (Hy × L317)	7, 11, 12, 13, 14
44	(187-2 × 4-8) (Hy × 540)	8 to 13, inc.
52	(Hy × 67) (4-8 × 540)	10
65	(51 × 4-8) (Hy × 540)	4 to 8, inc., 10
102	(KYS × U. S. 7) (U. S. 5 × U. S. 6)	14
264	(Hy × J7-2E) (U. S. 2 × U. S. 3)	14
265	(Hy × J8-6G) (U. S. 2 × U. S. 3)	14
282	(J7-2E × J8-6G) (U. S. 2 × U. S. 3)	14

U. S. hybrids (white):

168	(Ky. 30A × T10B) (Ky. 39A × JC33)	14
360	(11b × JC33) (41 × 43)	14
361	(JC33 × 23) (41 × 43)	14
364	(11b × JC33) (23 × 24)	14
364-1	(11b × JC33) (23 × Mo. 7Ra)	14

Edward J. Funk & Sons, Kentland, Ind.:

Hoosier-Crost F138 (experimental)	3
Hoosier-Crost F139 (experimental)	8
Hoosier-Crost 668	6

O & M Seed Co., Green Springs, Ohio:

39	2
----	---

Eastern Pioneer Hybrid Corn Co., Yellow Springs, Ohio:

300	9, 13
302A	12
311A	9
317	7
324	3, 4
332	11, 14
333	10
334	5

Open-pollinated varieties:

Clarage (Eichelberger)	11, 12
Cook (A. B. Cook)	3, 6
Medina Pride (Holmes Seed Co.)	2
Purdie Yellow Dent (Albert Goocey)	13
Reid (W. N. Scarff's Sons)	13
White Dent (Wilbur Kidnocker)	14
Woodburn (W. N. Scarff's Sons)	4 to 10, inc.
Yaggi (John S. Yaggi, Jr.)	2

TABLE 2.—Test Group A. Ohio Cooperative Corn Performance Tests. 1941

Adaptation Area 1

Experiment No.:

601A

602A

603A

604A

Location:

Austinburg, Ashtabula Co.

Cortland, Trumbull Co.

Canfield, Mahoning Co.

Kent, Summit Co.

Strain	Acre yield					Dry matter in ears at harvest	Planting to silking	Lodged plants	Broken plants
	Average	Exp. 601A	Exp. 602A	Exp. 603A	Exp. 604A				
	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Per cent</i>	<i>Days</i>	<i>Per cent</i>	<i>Per cent</i>
Ohio W46.....	98.3	105.5	81.8	96.8	109.4	71.2	75.1	0.2	1.0
Ohio K24 (lot 1).....	97.8	100.4	79.6	102.2	108.8	71.7	75.7	.2	1.2
Ohio K24 (lot 2).....	96.7	93.4	83.3	102.0	108.2	71.8	75.0	.5	.8
Ohio K24 (lot 3).....	95.6	94.5	84.9	98.5	104.5	72.1	75.6	.5	1.0
Ohio W26 (lot 2).....	95.4	97.4	78.4	99.0	106.8	70.6	76.9	.9	1.0
Ohio 1209.....	95.2	100.9	80.3	95.5	104.3	72.9	75.8	.5	1.4
Ohio 3013.....	94.9	98.6	81.6	94.6	104.9	72.1	75.2	.2	.5
Ohio W26 (lot 1).....	94.9	99.5	79.2	99.4	101.5	70.8	75.3	.2	1.2
Ohio K35.....	94.1	101.0	76.8	93.4	105.4	72.0	75.5	.0	.7
Ohio M34.....	91.2	99.4	85.4	87.8	92.1	73.0	73.9	.0	.7
Ohio 1208.....	89.7	83.3	85.0	93.8	96.8	72.5	74.9	.5	1.1
Ohio K23 (lot 2).....	89.3	91.6	77.7	91.6	96.2	71.9	74.8	.0	.5
Ohio K42.....	89.2	86.9	80.2	95.0	94.8	71.6	74.9	.3	.8
O & M 39.....	88.1	95.4	74.4	88.0	94.5	70.7	77.6	4.5	3.5
Ohio M20.....	87.5	86.7	78.2	89.8	95.2	72.4	74.3	.2	2.0
Ohio K23 (lot 1).....	86.9	84.2	76.7	92.0	94.8	72.0	74.9	.0	.8
Ohio M15.....	85.4	88.2	75.1	90.6	87.7	72.5	74.1	.3	1.0
Iowa 931.....	83.1	90.2	78.4	88.6	88.6	71.7	76.4	.2	4.2
Medina Pride.....	75.7	77.4	61.8	74.4	89.1	73.6	74.9	7.6	6.2
Yaggi.....	72.0	73.0	55.2	77.0	82.7	71.4	76.6	5.5	8.2
Significant difference.....	5.5	7.6	5.6	5.7	10.1				

Days from planting to silking taken on Experiments 601A and 602A only.

Lodged and broken plants taken on Experiments 601A and 603A only.

The strain × location interaction is not significant.

TABLE 3.—Test Group B. Ohio Cooperative Corn Performance Tests. 1941

Adaptation Areas 2, 3, and 4

Experiment No.:

Location:

606B

Rowsburg, Ashland Co.

607B

Shiloh, Richland Co.

608B

Wooster, Wayne Co.

609B

Dover, Tuscarawas Co.

610B

West Unity, Williams Co.

Strain	Acre yield						Dry matter in ears at harvest	Planting to silking	Lodged plants	Broken plants
	Average	Exp. 606B	Exp. 607B	Exp. 608B	Exp. 609B	Exp. 610B				
	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Per cent</i>	<i>Days</i>	<i>Per cent</i>	<i>Per cent</i>
Hoosier-Crost F138 (experimental).....	90.4	25.6	114.8*	110.1	99.1	102.6*	72.3	72.1	1.3	8.8
Ohio K64.....	90.3	30.2	109.9*	111.6	108.8	90.8*	72.8	72.4	.9	9.2
Pioneer 324.....	89.6	37.4	107.6	104.7	104.6	93.8*	72.2	72.2	3.3	15.1
Ohio W46.....	88.1	32.4	94.5	108.4	98.6	106.9*	71.6	71.5	2.3	8.4
Iowa 939.....	88.0	26.1	105.9	99.9	106.8	101.0*	71.0	73.0	2.9	11.2
Ohio W36.....	86.8	39.8	91.5	106.4	97.6	98.5*	72.4	71.6	5.7	8.2
Ohio K24.....	86.3	38.0	87.4	107.4	96.3	102.6*	73.1	71.7	3.7	8.6
Ohio W66.....	86.3	22.6	102.0	108.2	106.4	92.2*	70.6	71.8	1.7	13.6
Ohio W62.....	85.7	29.8	99.6*	105.5	97.5	96.3*	71.7	73.3	2.4	13.0
Ohio W26.....	84.7	21.9	103.5*	106.5	95.9	95.7*	71.5	71.7	1.8	12.4
Ohio W17.....	83.3	27.5	97.0†	110.0	91.0	90.9*	71.5	72.8	4.7	15.8
Ohio K35.....	82.9	38.1	98.2*	102.1	88.0	88.1*	72.8	71.8	1.0	11.5
Ohio K42.....	80.4	39.5	87.5	94.5	88.4	92.0*	73.3	71.3	2.6	10.9
Ohio M34.....	80.2	44.5	83.4	100.6	79.5	92.9*	74.1	71.2	5.3	11.3
Ohio W32.....	79.8	27.4	88.6	103.0	88.8	91.2*	71.5	73.1	3.4	10.5
Ohio M15.....	79.7	43.5	84.1	94.5	86.5	90.0*	74.5	70.9	4.8	21.9
Ohio K23.....	79.3	47.9	81.9	95.3	89.1	82.2*	73.4	71.6	1.7	13.2
Ohio M20.....	73.1	36.1	70.2	96.3	77.6	85.5*	73.7	70.5	3.8	11.8
Iowa 931.....	71.3	23.1	92.5	88.5	82.5	69.9*	73.3	71.9	7.0	23.1
Cook.....	60.5	12.8	76.0	79.6	74.8†	59.4*	72.4	72.1	10.7	15.8
Significant difference.....	5.8	8.8	12.5	6.5	10.0	10.4

Days from planting to silking taken on Experiments 608B and 609B only.

The strain × location interaction is highly significant.

*Four replications only.

†Three replications only.

TABLE 4.—Test Group C. Ohio Cooperative Corn Performance Tests. 1941

Adaptation Areas 4 and 5

Experiment No.:

Location:

611C

Mt. Vernon, Knox Co.

612C

Rayland, Jefferson Co.

613C

Coshocton, Coshocton Co.

614C

Plain City, Union Co.

615C

Edgerton, Defiance Co.

Strain	Acre yield						Dry matter in ears at harvest	Planting to silking	Lodged plants	Broken plants
	Average	Exp. 611C	Exp. 612C	Exp. 613C	Exp. 614C	Exp. 615C				
	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Per cent</i>	<i>Days</i>	<i>Per cent</i>	<i>Per cent</i>
Ohio C38.....	94.3	104.9	120.7	104.0	102.2	39.9	71.2	73.2	2.4	9.5
Ohio W66.....	93.1	108.8	128.0	91.5	94.4	42.9	71.4	72.6	1.0	9.4
Ohio W56.....	92.3	104.0	122.2	96.5	96.2	42.6	71.8	73.0	.6	5.1
Ohio K64.....	91.9	105.1	123.6	95.0	96.5	39.5	73.2	71.0	.5	5.3
Ohio W36.....	90.7	108.4	106.0	96.4	97.5	45.0	73.7	70.0	2.2	9.4
Iowa 939.....	90.7	98.7	118.5	106.1	89.7	40.5	72.0	71.8	2.0	10.4
Pioneer 324.....	89.9	102.9	117.6	97.1	90.5	41.1	73.7	71.2	1.8	17.6
Ohio W46.....	89.9	104.1	118.8	88.1	95.7	43.1	72.2	71.2	.7	6.8
Ohio K24.....	89.7	104.9	112.3	93.1	92.0	46.4	73.9	69.4	.8	9.7
Ohio W17.....	89.5	103.1	110.7	94.2	91.4	47.9	72.2	72.4	1.4	16.7
U. S. 65.....	89.2	97.2	109.4	106.0	94.8	38.6	71.3	75.2	1.0	16.6
Ohio W26.....	87.9	99.5	114.3	87.7	94.4	43.8	72.5	70.8	.5	12.0
Ohio K35.....	86.5	98.0	98.4	98.0	90.5	47.8	73.9	70.1	.8	12.4
Ohio C28.....	86.3	90.5	113.8	85.5	100.9	41.0*	70.7	73.3	1.4	6.0
Ohio M34.....	83.5	96.4	97.2	85.9	85.8	52.2	75.2	68.6	1.4	11.0
Ohio M15.....	81.1	93.6	101.0	84.1	81.6	45.4	75.6	69.3	1.6	19.6
Ohio K42.....	80.1	97.9	104.8	75.3	81.2	41.2	73.3	69.5	1.1	10.0
Ohio M20.....	79.4	95.3	97.5	73.6	84.1	46.5	74.8	68.8	.8	9.4
Ohio K23.....	78.6	88.0	94.8	86.0	79.7	44.6	74.4	68.6	.3	12.3
Woodburn.....	71.0	79.2	94.7	73.0	78.1	30.1	73.7	71.6	10.0	24.4
Significant difference.....	4.1	4.7	4.6	7.6	6.2	6.8

Days from planting to silking taken on Experiments 614C and 615C only.

Lodged plants taken on Experiments 611C, 612C, 613C, and 615C only.

The strain \times location interaction is highly significant.

*Four replications only.

TABLE 5.—Test Group D. Ohio Cooperative Corn Performance Tests. 1941

Adaptation Area 4

Experiment No.:

Location:

616D

Huron, Erie Co.

617D

Nevada, Wyandot Co.

619D

Lima, Allen Co.

620D

Sidney, Shelby Co.

Strain	Acre yield					Dry matter in ears at harvest	Planting to silking	Lodged plants	Broken plants
	Average	Exp. 616D	Exp. 617D	Exp. 619D	Exp. 620D				
	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Per cent</i>	<i>Days</i>	<i>Per cent</i>	<i>Per cent</i>
Ohio C88.....	101.4	109.8	107.4	85.1*	103.2	70.5	72.9	19.3	12.0
Pioneer 334.....	100.4	106.5	108.0	86.7*	100.2	71.7	73.3	11.0	31.2
Ohio W30.....	97.9	102.3	101.5	83.9*	103.6	72.0	71.1	18.6	9.7
U. S. 65.....	96.2	104.9	100.5*	76.6*	102.9	73.2	72.5	13.1	27.4
Ohio C65-2.....	94.9	101.8	94.5	78.9*	104.4	70.6	73.5	15.2	14.2
Ohio W62.....	94.8	104.7	99.8	78.7*	96.1	73.3	72.1	11.7	23.9
Ohio C65-1.....	94.5	106.8	98.3	79.9*	93.0	72.9	73.5	11.8	16.1
Ohio W58.....	93.6	99.5*	100.9	77.3*	96.7	72.9	72.5	15.9	15.1
Illinois 384.....	93.3	97.5	99.5	85.0*	91.4	72.2	72.2	13.5	17.6
Ohio 3048.....	92.9	94.0	101.7	80.5*	95.1	72.3	71.1	9.3	18.2
Ohio W36.....	92.8	99.8	100.1	77.1*	94.0	74.7	69.9	17.7	12.8
Ohio K24.....	92.1	95.9	95.2	79.3*	97.9	75.1	69.6	10.0	12.7
Iowa 939.....	90.7	99.0	95.3	74.5*	93.8	73.6	70.6	11.4	27.6
Ohio W54.....	90.6	93.5	97.9	75.6*	95.4	73.3	70.7	19.0	18.0
Ohio W32.....	89.2	92.9*	95.9	74.6*	93.4*	74.0	71.7	10.1	20.4
Michigan 22C.....	87.6	94.2	95.7	76.9*	83.7	73.8	74.1	13.1	37.2
Ohio W17.....	87.2	93.1	97.3	73.5*	85.0	72.6	72.0	13.1	23.8
Michigan 24B.....	78.1	80.1	82.4	62.9*	87.0	75.5	68.6	11.2	14.0
Michigan 33C.....	76.6	79.9	81.9	67.9*	76.8	76.1	66.5	12.6	15.7
Woodburn.....	73.9	79.1	80.4	60.7*	75.4	75.0	70.1	22.0	30.2
Significant difference.....	4.2	6.0	7.0	6.4	6.8				

The strain \times location interaction is not significant.

*Four replications only.

TABLE 6.—Test Group E. Ohio Cooperative Corn Performance Tests. 1941

Adaptation Areas 2 and 4

Experiment No.:

Location:

621E

New London, Huron Co.

623E

St. Marys, Auglaize Co.

624E

Celina, Mercer Co.

625E

West Liberty, Champaign Co.

Strain	Acre yield					Dry matter in ears at harvest	Planting to silking	Lodged plants	Broken plants
	Average	Exp. 621E	Exp. 623E	Exp. 624E	Exp. 625E				
	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Per cent</i>	<i>Days</i>	<i>Per cent</i>	<i>Per cent</i>
Ohio C38.....	92.3	94.0*	83.7	90.8	100.7	72.8	68.8	1.6	19.6
Ohio W54.....	86.9	92.6*	76.9	86.9	91.2	73.5	66.8	2.0	19.4
Iowa 4059.....	85.9	92.7	71.0	80.5	99.6	72.6	69.8	1.8	18.1
Ohio W36.....	85.5	88.3	80.9	82.7	89.9	75.2	67.2	2.0	14.7
Ohio C76.....	85.9	95.7	70.7	88.9	84.8	70.0	69.8	3.4	11.9
Ohio C28.....	84.7	88.9	76.2	82.8	90.9	72.4	69.4	.8	18.4
Ohio C48.....	84.3	90.6*	73.0	85.8	87.9	70.5	70.6	1.6	19.3
Indiana 608C.....	83.7	88.9	72.6	81.2	92.2	71.7	69.2	1.5	36.9
Ohio W56.....	82.8	94.6	64.3	84.0	88.4	72.6	68.6	1.6	13.2
Ohio C84.....	82.3	94.5	70.0	74.4	90.3	71.2	71.8	2.3	22.3
Indiana 431.....	81.2	80.3*	66.4	80.3	98.0	72.3	69.6	3.1	19.1
Ohio W60.....	80.5	96.6*	61.2	71.7	92.5	73.8	69.2	1.6	17.0
Indiana 610.....	79.0	93.2†	57.2	79.1	86.4	71.4	70.2	2.9	24.8
U. S. 65.....	78.4	83.5	65.2	82.8	81.9	72.9	72.6	5.7	34.0
Ohio W17.....	78.2	88.7	64.7	75.7	83.6	73.8	69.8	3.4	31.3
Hoosier-Crost 668.....	78.0	92.5	60.4	73.8	85.3	72.7	68.4	1.7	12.8
Ohio 161.....	77.9	86.5*	66.1	74.2	84.7	74.6	69.0	5.1	37.5
Iowa 939.....	76.7	86.4	67.2	75.0	78.3	72.6	69.0	5.8	35.9
Woodburn.....	64.9	70.9	56.2	61.3	71.3	75.3	68.6	9.6	45.5
Cook.....	61.2	65.8*	48.7	63.8	66.4	74.9	68.6	4.6	32.7
Significant difference.....	6.2	8.8	12.4	8.0	13.0				

Days from planting to silking taken on Experiment 621E only.

The strain × location interaction is not significant.

*Four replications only.

†Three replications only.

TABLE 7.—Test Group F. Ohio Cooperative Corn Performance Tests. 1941

Adaptation Areas 4 and 5

Experiment No.:

626F

627F

628F

630F

Location:

Tiffin, Seneca Co.

Perrysburg, Wood Co.

Findlay, Hancock Co.

Van Wert, Van Wert Co.

Strain	Acre yield					Dry matter in ears at harvest	Planting to silking	Lodged plants	Broken plants
	Average	Exp. 626F	Exp. 627F	Exp. 628F	Exp. 630F				
	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Per cent</i>	<i>Days</i>	<i>Per cent</i>	<i>Per cent</i>
Ohio C88.....	92.1	96.5	68.0*	106.0	97.8	69.3	73.2	5.2	13.9
U. S. 13.....	90.6	95.2	57.5*	109.9	100.0	69.9	74.9	2.7	26.0
Ohio L98.....	88.8	98.2	61.9	105.2	89.8	69.0	76.7	5.3	25.1
Ohio C50.....	88.5	94.7	64.1	100.5	94.8	69.5	73.7	7.2	12.1
Ohio W30.....	87.9	99.2	62.9	99.9	89.5	71.0	72.6	3.5	18.2
Ohio C80.....	87.8	98.5	54.2*	104.5	93.8	69.4	74.2	1.5	12.2
Indiana 610.....	87.2	96.8	60.5	102.7	88.8	70.6	72.3	3.0	25.0
Ohio C76.....	86.5	97.5	58.2	95.3	95.1	68.9	75.5	2.0	25.9
Ohio C14-3.....	85.8	97.1*	54.9	95.0	96.2	70.4	74.1	3.5	22.2
Ohio C96.....	85.1	98.6	59.3	98.8	83.7	69.6	76.2	3.4	19.9
Ohio L86.....	83.5	100.2	50.1	98.7	84.9	68.5	76.7	1.4	26.4
Ohio L16.....	83.2	100.1	55.3	92.9	84.6	70.0	76.5	2.7	27.4
Ohio K24.....	83.0	93.1	64.0*	88.7	86.2	73.4	68.9	1.1	20.7
Ohio W60.....	80.1	91.5	57.5	87.7	83.8	72.6	73.3	1.4	22.3
U. S. 65.....	79.7	91.2	52.9*	92.6	82.3	70.9	72.9	2.1	29.1
Ohio C14-2.....	77.7	89.5*	51.7	85.7	83.9	71.2	73.5	2.0	16.5
Ohio C14.....	76.5	89.2*	51.3*	84.7	80.7	70.8	73.6	3.7	25.1
Pioneer 317.....	76.2	88.3	53.1	84.3	79.1	70.8	73.9	3.1	29.5
Ohio C84.....	75.2	90.6	45.3	81.0	83.8	69.9	76.4	3.0	19.9
Woodburn.....	59.3	73.4*	42.1	60.9	60.7	73.1	69.0	11.5	31.7
Significant difference.....	5.1	8.9	6.5	7.0	7.6

Days from planting to silking taken on Experiments 627F and 630F only.

The strain X location interaction is significant.

*Four replications only.

TABLE 8.—Test Group G. Ohio Cooperative Corn Performance Tests. 1941

Adaptation Area 5

Experiment No.:

Location:

631G

Holgate, Henry Co.

632G

Paulding, Paulding Co.

633G

Ottawa, Putnam Co.

634G

Maumee, Lucas Co.

635G

Fremont, Sandusky Co.

660G

Wauseon, Fulton Co.

Strain	Acre yield							Dry matter in ears at harvest	Planting to silking	Lodged plants	Broken plants
	Average	Exp. 631G	Exp. 632G	Exp. 633G	Exp. 634G	Exp. 635G	Exp. 660G				
	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Per cent</i>	<i>Days</i>	<i>Per cent</i>	<i>Per cent</i>
Ohio C12.....	89.0	57.2	48.4	99.2	118.4	100.7	110.4	71.3	80.9	7.0	14.8
Ohio C92.....	88.3	53.3	41.1	101.3	117.2	104.1	112.9	70.8	80.4	1.7	13.5
Ohio 3060.....	87.0	48.6	51.8	101.9	116.6	96.3	106.6	72.9	77.6	.9	10.5
Illinois 960.....	86.9	50.5	47.6	102.8	115.5	97.3	108.0	71.3	79.8	5.5	30.5
Ohio C38.....	83.3	50.7	38.5	96.5	117.6	98.6	98.0	72.5	77.8	4.0	15.2
Ohio W58.....	83.1	51.1	49.1	90.7	110.1	95.9	101.8	73.7	78.1	2.6	15.5
Ohio C82.....	83.0	53.4	32.8	89.3	117.2†	98.0	107.4	70.9	80.5	1.7	12.3
Ohio W10.....	82.8	50.8	44.3†	94.1	114.9	93.8	99.0	73.4	78.7	1.9	16.1
Ohio 3059.....	82.5	50.5	37.0	90.2	112.1	105.9†	99.0	71.3	80.4	.6	9.3
Ohio L86.....	82.5*	52.1	40.4	86.0	113.3	101.2	70.9*	81.3	1.7*	18.7*
Ohio C65-2.....	81.9	53.1	42.3	85.4	116.9	92.5	100.7	71.7	79.8	2.5	11.0
Ohio 3048.....	81.4	48.6	52.4	86.1	112.9	92.0	96.2	73.0	76.4	.7	19.0
Ohio C65-1.....	81.3	47.0	40.7	85.2	116.4	93.2	105.3†	73.6	79.6	.9	13.1
Ohio C28.....	78.9	48.0	41.1	78.6	117.1	89.1	99.3	72.9	77.9	1.6	15.8
U. S. 44.....	78.0	47.7	36.8	84.1	114.2	96.8	88.2†	72.3	80.0	2.0	24.9
U. S. 65.....	77.9	47.9	42.0	83.4	108.8	91.3	94.2	73.2	78.9	1.2	28.3
Hoosier-Crost F139 (experimental)	76.9	44.1	34.8†	90.0	105.3	93.8	93.2	74.0	77.3	1.2	15.9
Indiana 431.....	75.7	39.9	32.3†	84.9	109.8	92.9	94.2†	72.8	78.3	.9	17.9
Ohio W54.....	75.5	38.0	37.5†	92.3	106.6	81.6	97.0	72.7	77.6	.4	10.4
Woodburn.....	58.9	26.8	26.0	56.1	79.9	80.5	83.8†	74.6	77.4	11.4	27.3
Significant difference	3.5	6.2	5.6	8.2	6.8	7.0	10.0

Days from planting to silking taken on Experiments 631G, 632G, 633G, and 634G only.

The strain × location interaction is highly significant.

*Adjusted average, since Ohio L86 was not included in Experiment 660G.

†Four replications only.

‡Three replications only.

TABLE 9.—Test Group H. Ohio Cooperative Corn Performance Tests. 1941

Adaptation Areas 4 and 6

Experiment No.:

Location:

636H

St. Clairsville, Belmont Co.

638H

Croton, Licking Co.

639H

Troy, Miami Co.

640H

New Carlisle, Clark Co.

Strain	Acre yield					Dry matter in ears at harvest	Planting to silking	Lodged plants	Broken plants
	Average	Exp. 636H	Exp. 638H	Exp. 639H	Exp. 640H				
	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Per cent</i>	<i>Days</i>	<i>Per cent</i>	<i>Per cent</i>
Indiana 610	101.2	109.0	79.6	118.8	97.3	68.1	72.7	1.9	16.2
Ohio C38	101.0	106.4	77.4	116.8	103.2	69.1	71.9	1.3	15.4
Iowa 4059	100.5	98.9	81.8	113.0	108.5	69.3	72.1	.3	14.6
Pioneer 300	100.5	102.9	83.0	114.6	101.4	67.9	74.7	1.7	20.7
Ohio C80	100.2	107.3	78.2	117.1	98.0	67.1	73.9	.7	10.5
Ohio W10	100.0	110.9	76.3	112.4	100.4	68.3	73.0	.5	11.3
Ohio C68	97.8	109.3	76.6	117.5	87.7	68.7	72.2	1.7	11.5
Ohio C48	97.4	97.7	79.2	117.6	94.9	68.0	72.7	1.0	10.8
Ohio W46	97.0	104.2	79.5	108.3	95.9	70.3	71.0	.4	9.6
Ohio W30	96.9	96.7	79.2	111.0	100.6	68.7	72.3	1.0	7.1
Ohio W54	96.0	99.2	80.4	110.4	93.8	69.4	71.7	.4	12.7
Iowa 306	95.5	93.8	76.8	115.5	95.8	70.9	70.7	2.2	14.9
Iowa 939	93.8	94.6	79.3	103.5	97.9	70.9	70.3	3.2	23.1
U. S. 44	92.6	98.2	75.2	104.1	92.9	67.7	74.4	1.9	24.7
Pioneer 311A	92.2	96.8	73.3	108.4	90.1	71.5	73.1	.2	34.4
Ohio W62	92.0	88.8	80.4	102.5	96.1	70.1	72.9	2.7	15.5
Ohio W17	91.8	86.0	74.4	105.8	100.9	70.6	71.8	2.8	22.8
Ohio W60	91.0	99.3	76.5	101.9	86.4	70.1	73.4	1.2	10.7
Ohio W32	87.7	90.2	73.4	103.0	84.0	70.3	72.4	1.4	15.9
Woodburn	70.8	74.8	58.5	84.0*	66.1	71.5	70.3	13.5	23.0
Significant difference	6.1	12.3	7.3	6.4	7.1

Days from planting to silking taken on Experiments 636H and 639H only.

The strain X location interaction is highly significant.

*Four replications only.

TABLE 10.—Test Group K. Ohio Cooperative Corn Performance Tests. 1941

Adaptation Areas 4 and 6

Experiment No.:

641K
642K
643K
644K
645K

Location:

Thornville, Perry Co.
Lancaster, Fairfield Co.
Lockbourne, Franklin Co.
London, Madison Co.
Greenville, Darke Co.

Strain	Acre yield						Dry matter in ears at harvest	Planting to silking	Lodged plants	Broken plants
	Average	Exp. 641K	Exp. 642K	Exp. 643K	Exp. 644K	Exp. 645K				
	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Per cent</i>	<i>Days</i>	<i>Per cent</i>	<i>Per cent</i>
Ohio C76.....	94.6	62.6	100.5*	86.2	117.9	105.9	68.3	74.5	5.5	17.1
Ohio C12.....	93.8	56.8	90.5*	92.0	118.0	111.8	69.1	74.9	3.0	15.4
Ohio L86.....	92.1	61.8	96.1*	76.8	126.1	99.5	68.7	75.1	4.9	20.9
Ohio C96.....	91.6	57.8	92.5*	85.6	116.2	106.0	71.7	74.2	6.9	18.3
Ohio C44-1.....	91.4	57.2	94.5*	83.0	116.6	105.6	70.5	74.6	4.2	17.8
Ohio C92.....	91.3	57.3	90.9*	78.0	119.8	110.5	69.7	73.6	1.5	14.4
Ohio C88.....	91.2	56.6	83.4*	89.6	115.2	111.3	70.8	72.7	3.3	10.1
Ohio C82.....	90.5	55.0	89.6*	75.2	122.0	110.6	69.8	73.9	1.1	13.2
Ohio C84.....	90.0	58.4	88.2*	77.0	120.6	105.9	71.4	73.9	2.6	23.7
Ohio C44-2.....	88.6	55.8	88.6*	74.3	116.2	108.0	70.7	73.3	3.7	13.7
Ohio C90.....	87.2	52.6	92.1*	73.4	106.7	111.1	71.2	73.1	2.3	13.7
Indiana 431.....	86.1	48.1	87.3*	78.4†	114.0	102.5	73.3	71.6	2.7	15.7
U. S. 44.....	86.0	53.6	94.7*	70.1	107.3	104.2	70.7	73.0	3.4	27.8
Ohio 1147.....	85.8	60.4	82.3*	76.6	111.8	98.0	73.1	72.0	3.2	11.5
U. S. 65.....	84.5	59.0	84.4*	76.2	108.5	94.2	73.2	73.0	3.0	34.4
Ohio C50.....	83.6	52.9	86.2*	72.3*	101.1	105.7	70.5	73.2	3.2	11.8
Pioneer 333.....	83.3	56.3	75.3*	79.1	112.4	93.3	72.7	72.5	3.8	19.9
Iowa 939.....	83.0	52.0	78.0*	76.6	113.9	94.4	73.6	71.0	3.3	25.1
U. S. 52.....	74.4	50.9	65.8*	64.0	99.3	92.1	72.8	74.8	4.3	37.6
Woodburn.....	69.4	44.2	71.7†	62.9	90.6	77.7	75.8	70.4	9.7	35.0
Significant difference.....	5.4	6.4	13.4	10.4	9.6	7.2

Days from planting to silking taken on Experiments 641K, 642K, 643K, and 645K only.

Lodged and broken plants taken on Experiments 641K, 643K, 644K, and 645K only.

The strain X location interaction is significant.

*Four replications only.

†Three replications only.

TABLE 11.—Test Group L. Ohio Cooperative Corn Performance Tests. 1941

Adaptation Area 6

Experiment No.:

646L

647L

648L

649L

Location:

Eaton, Preble Co.

Ashville, Pickaway Co.

Carpenter, Meigs Co.

Batavia, Clermont Co.

Strain	Acre yield					Dry matter in ears at harvest	Planting to silking	Lodged plants	Broken plants
	Average	Exp. 646L	Exp. 647L	Exp. 648L	Exp. 649L				
	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Per cent</i>	<i>Days</i>	<i>Per cent</i>	<i>Per cent</i>
Ohio C12.....	80.4	86.6	92.8	65.6	76.6	74.3	73.5	2.9	6.1
Ohio 3063.....	77.5	82.8	90.9	61.2	75.1	75.4	71.1	1.3	9.9
Ohio W58.....	75.6	89.6	85.4	64.3	63.0	77.7	71.5	3.7	8.1
Ohio L18.....	75.0	79.8	89.1	62.2	68.8	75.2	75.5	2.9	4.1
Indiana 813C.....	74.9	83.8	88.8	61.2	65.7	72.5	74.7	3.3	11.0
U. S. 13.....	74.7	80.1	97.2	61.9	59.6	73.3	74.7	1.7	8.3
Ohio L16.....	74.0	67.7	87.9	66.2	74.1	74.0	74.7	6.3	9.8
Ohio L94.....	73.9	71.4	88.3	70.9	64.8	71.0	77.0	6.2	9.6
Ohio C44-2.....	73.0	74.3	85.9	62.6	69.0	74.6	73.3	1.5	6.0
Ohio 3058.....	71.9	57.9	91.6	66.5	71.8	74.3	75.6	1.7	12.4
Pioneer 332.....	71.7	73.9	88.0	65.9	59.0	71.4	75.3	3.0	11.8
Ohio C90.....	71.2	79.9	80.4	59.9	64.8	75.3	73.9	4.1	7.5
Ohio 3062.....	70.9	74.3	88.3	59.2	61.7	75.7	73.3	2.2	11.7
Ohio C48.....	70.8	74.6	86.0	58.7	64.0	74.8	71.0	2.8	9.6
Ohio C44-1.....	70.4	70.4	79.1	60.8	71.4	74.9	74.8	7.7	6.6
Ohio R22.....	70.2	69.0	89.9	58.0	64.1	73.6	76.4	2.6	7.4
Ohio C84.....	69.2	70.2	83.4	58.8	64.5	74.6	73.8	3.6	8.6
Indiana 608C.....	66.6	80.8	73.9	54.4	57.2	75.0	71.2	3.6	22.2
U. S. 44.....	63.8	67.6	75.1	55.0	57.6	74.2	74.9	6.1	13.2
Clarage (Eichelberger).....	56.0	51.6	69.8	52.0	50.8	73.7	74.3	11.6	17.5
Significant difference.....	6.3	6.6	7.2	8.1	9.0

The strain \times location interaction is highly significant.

TABLE 12.—Test Group M. Ohio Cooperative Corn Performance Tests. 1941

Adaptation Area 6

Experiment No.:

650M

651M

652M

653M

Location:

Hamilton, Butler Co.

Hillsboro, Highland Co.

Washington C. H., Fayette Co.

Jackson, Jackson Co.

Strain	Acre yield					Dry matter in ears at harvest	Planting to silking	Lodged plants	Broken plants
	Average	Exp. 650 M	Exp. 651M	Exp. 652M	Exp. 653M				
	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Per cent</i>	<i>Days</i>	<i>Per cent</i>	<i>Per cent</i>
U. S. 13.....	98.6	86.7*	90.6	111.5	105.6	73.6	73.4	1.2	12.7
Ohio 1268.....	97.8	89.5*	89.8	103.9	108.0	70.7	76.4	.8	11.6
Ohio L86.....	96.4	87.6*	77.7	107.4	113.0	72.2	74.1	2.0	12.2
Ohio W58.....	96.0	89.8	91.0	103.8	99.5	79.5	70.6	1.5	10.5
Ohio C82.....	95.7	84.3	76.9	109.1	110.5	75.1	72.5	1.9	13.2
Ohio L16.....	94.7	79.8*	79.0	106.0	113.9	74.4	74.5	2.2	17.1
Ohio W10.....	94.6	83.3	82.5	108.8	103.7	75.3	71.3	1.1	10.1
Ohio L98.....	94.0	86.7	80.8	103.9	104.5	73.0	75.3	2.9	14.5
Ohio C50.....	92.7	84.5	86.6	101.7	98.1	76.8	72.4	1.6	8.8
Ohio C44.2.....	92.0	82.0	85.1	102.5	98.3	76.1	72.9	2.7	11.9
Ohio C88.....	91.7	86.2	80.4	101.4	98.9	75.5	72.1	1.7	8.5
Ohio C44.1.....	91.7	79.6	78.3	104.1	104.9	75.4	74.0	2.2	14.0
Pioneer 302A.....	91.2	82.2	77.7	102.5	102.5	75.1	73.1	2.8	19.1
Indiana 608C.....	91.1	87.2	80.2	97.9	99.0	76.7	70.0	.8	23.7
Ohio W60.....	90.9	81.1	82.5	106.0	93.9	78.3	71.7	1.2	10.7
Ohio C90.....	88.7	83.0	74.4	102.8	94.6	75.3	73.2	1.6	9.0
Ohio 3058.....	87.9	78.4	76.1	93.1	104.0	72.9	75.6	1.7	17.0
U. S. 44.....	87.7	80.0	78.4	97.5	94.9	75.4	74.5	1.0	19.0
Iowa 939.....	85.1	78.1	79.3	94.5	88.6	76.9	69.8	2.1	17.2
Clarage (Eichelberger).....	74.2	64.9	54.8	91.1*	86.1	73.4	75.3	8.1	24.4
Significant difference.....	6.3	8.6	12.0	7.8	9.6

The strain \times location interaction is not significant.

*Four replications only.

TABLE 13.—Test Group N. Ohio Cooperative Corn Performance Tests. 1941

Adaptation Area 6

Experiment No.:

654N

655N

656N

Location:

Morrow, Warren Co.

Frankfort, Ross Co.

Mt. Healthy, Hamilton Co.

Strain	Acre yield				Dry matter in ears at harvest	Planting to silking	Lodged plants	Broken plants
	Average	Exp. 654N	Exp. 655N	Exp. 656N				
	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Per cent</i>	<i>Days</i>	<i>Per cent</i>	<i>Per cent</i>
Ohio 3061	80.4	40.2	98.9	102.0	69.4	75.1	6.2	12.3
U. S. 13	79.0	32.3*	104.0	100.6	67.9	76.6	6.7	19.1
Ohio L98	73.5	47.6*	80.5	92.4	69.0	77.7	31.1	28.3
Ohio C80	72.1	41.5*	83.5	91.3	69.1	75.4	22.7	15.5
Ohio W54	72.0	37.2	88.3	90.4	72.6	71.4	7.8	16.9
Ohio C12	71.8	37.8	82.4	95.2	69.2	76.5	12.4	21.0
Ohio C92	71.2	31.5	87.9	94.4	68.8	76.3	13.9	13.8
Ohio C96	71.0	40.9	81.5	90.7	70.9	75.2	29.3	21.0
Ohio L18	70.9	31.4	84.3	96.8	68.9	78.2	8.9	8.6
Ohio W30	70.5	34.6*	85.0	91.7	70.9	73.9	8.0	13.5
Ohio L94	70.4	34.1	84.7	92.3	69.0	76.9	17.8	24.2
Ohio C65-2	69.4	30.1	80.7	97.3	68.8	75.9	20.4	12.6
Ohio 3062	68.7	42.6	75.9	87.6	70.9	74.4	16.6	26.6
Pioneer 300	67.1	35.0*	80.4	86.0	68.9	76.2	23.2	25.6
Ohio C65-1	65.1	34.8	76.2	84.4	72.3	75.3	15.0	35.0
Ohio R22	62.5	30.4	72.8	84.2	66.7	79.6	18.9	11.6
U. S. 44	62.3	38.8	65.3	82.7	69.9	76.7	29.5	39.9
Iowa 939	61.5	26.9	72.3	85.4	71.9	72.2	24.0	37.5
Purdie Yellow Dent	49.9	18.8	62.1	68.9	69.5	76.1	26.2	34.9
Reid	46.1	17.6	54.4	66.2	66.6	80.1	23.6	29.8
Significant difference	7.8	8.0	13.4	8.9

Days from planting to silking taken on Experiments 654N and 656N only.

Broken plants taken on Experiments 654N and 656N only.

The strain × location interaction is not significant.

*Four replications only.

TABLE 14.—Test Group P. Ohio Cooperative Corn Performance Tests. 1941

Adaptation Area 7

Experiment No.:

657P

658P

659P

Location:

Hamilton, Butler Co.

Harrison, Hamilton Co.

Chillicothe, Ross Co.

Strain	Acre yield				Dry matter in ears at harvest	Lodged plants	Broken plants
	Average	Exp. 657P	Exp. 658P	Exp. 659P			
	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Bushels</i>	<i>Per cent</i>	<i>Per cent</i>	<i>Per cent</i>
U. S. 265.....	101.6	114.7	93.0	97.1	69.2	3.7	21.0
Ohio 3056.....	100.6	109.3	93.4*	99.1	76.6	1.1	9.1
Ohio 3054.....	99.0	112.0	92.1	92.7	76.6	1.2	19.9
Ohio C92.....	97.6	107.8	94.5	90.5	77.5	1.2	10.9
U. S. 168 (white).....	96.8	111.0	86.6	92.9	73.4	1.4	16.2
Ohio L94.....	94.8	106.3	91.8	86.2	74.0	1.8	14.3
Ohio 3070.....	93.6	99.2	93.1	88.4	74.2	1.6	7.3
U. S. 264.....	93.2	98.8	85.1	95.7	70.2	3.9	15.9
U. S. 282.....	92.9	103.9	75.5	99.4*	68.7	7.0	12.1
Pioneer 332.....	91.4	98.5	88.5	87.3	75.1	5.1	17.5
U. S. 13.....	91.2	96.8	89.0	87.8	76.4	1.1	16.2
Ohio 3057.....	87.4	102.6	77.6	82.0	76.9	.1	15.2
Ohio 3058.....	87.4	93.4	83.0	85.7	76.9	.6	22.7
U. S. 361 (white).....	86.9	101.1	67.1	92.4	74.0	2.9	10.4
U. S. 102.....	85.4	98.7	68.0*	89.4*	71.2	2.5	14.1
U. S. 364 (white).....	84.8	99.1	57.4	97.8*	70.2	4.4	16.7
Ohio 3059.....	84.7	95.5	80.8	77.8†	73.2	1.9	17.5
U. S. 360 (white).....	81.8	98.6	59.3	87.3	73.4	4.0	10.6
U. S. 364-1 (white).....	81.3	98.8	53.6	91.4	70.0	1.6	13.9
White Dent (Kidnocker).....	80.8	85.2	65.6	91.6	71.4	6.6	18.4
Significant difference.....	7.4	11.8	10.6	7.6			

The strain × location interaction is highly significant.

*Four replications only.

†Two replications only.

This page intentionally blank.

This page intentionally blank.